

1+1 Dimensional Solution For Yang - Mills Theory and Noncommutative Electrodynamics

Abolfazl Jafari

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Shahrekord University, P. O. Box 115, Shahrekord, Iran
(Dated: April 5, 2017)

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to show that the localized solutions to Yang - Mills theory can be occurred in 2-dimensional space time. We show that the instability of stationary localized solutions for the nonabelian gauge field theory will be questioned by the dimensions of space time. We also establish a trivial relation between the motion equation of the energy - momentum tensor and fields for the research of the glueballs and photoballs. Research of source-free static solutions of the non-commutative version of Maxwell's equations in 2-dimensional temporal state of noncommutativity is another intention of this work. We will show that there is no comprehensively similarity between the existence of the bound states of nonabelian gauge theory and $U_*(1)$.

Keywords: Yang - Mills Theory, Noncommutative coordinates, Noncommutative Electrodynamics, Glueballs, Photoballs, Two Dimensional Space Time

I. INTRODUCTION

Derrick's theorem is an argument proposed by a physicist G.H. Derrick, who has shown the instability of stationary localized solutions for a nonlinear wave equation or the nonlinear Klein - Gordon equation in dimensions three and higher [1–4]. Also, Coleman and Deser have published the cardinal theorem about the absence of time independent solutions for the source free Yang - Mills equation: "There are no static solutions for the source free Yang- Mills field's equation of motion" [5, 6]. Instability of the static solutions in particular is satisfactory in quantum chromodynamics. This can be generalized to include quantum electrodynamics entirely. Perhaps, one of the important consequences of this lemma is the absence of classical glueballs.

In nonabelian gauge theory, there are some kinds of bound states which are so called the glueballs of QCD [7]. The glueballs as colorless bound states of the massive composed exclusively of the gauge field quanta (gluons) which gets the mass in the Higgs mechanism. In Refs.[5, 6], Deser and Coleman have shown that the classical glueballs can not occur in the space time with 1 + 1 and 3 + 1-dimensions.

If any set of localized fields is called Lump, then a Lump is a solution of the equation of the motion of the fields and is localized at the space time. The time dependent Lumps as dynamic Lumps radiate energy, but static Lumps can not radiate. According to special relativity, absence of the Lumps related to the massive or massless fields have different consequences. Since, one can be always found the Lorentz transformation for the massive fields, the absence or existence of the massive Lumps can be generalized to the rest frame.

According to Coleman and Deser theorem, there are

no finite - energy non - singular solutions of the classical Yang - Mills theory in four-dimensional Minkowski space time that do not radiate energy out to the spatial infinity. In a linear classical field theory, like free electrodynamics, any non - singular initial configuration of fields of finite energy will eventually spread out over all space. The final state is simply outgoing radiation independent of initial configuration. In contrast, certain non - linear field theories are known to have finite - energy non - singular solutions that can be described as Lumps of energy held together by their own self - interaction, in which no energy is radiated to spatial infinity. Also in Refs. [5, 8] the author have shown that if the static solutions in quantum field theory are forbidden, they will be forbidden in the classical limit. That is the nonexistence of quantum glueballs says that nothing against the existence of classical glueballs [5, 6, 8].

The noncommutative physical theories are rewritten in terms of noncommutative coordinates $[x^\mu, x^\nu]_* = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ and as a nonlocal model does not hold the Lorentz symmetry [9]. The physics data forced us to study noncommutative version of physics further based on an antisymmetric tensor of the second rank: θ . These studies have revealed some peculiar features of noncommutative quantum models, in particular much attention has also been paid to noncommutative version of the Yang - Mills theory as well as noncommutative QED[10–14]. The quantum electrodynamics as a massless theorem does not consist of the photon self - interaction. Therefore, we do not encounter the photons bound states [15]. But, we can find similarity between nonabelian gauge theory and noncommutative electrodynamics. Due to Refs.[15, 16], spatial and temporal noncommutative electrodynamics include a direct interaction term for photon - photon exchange. Therefore, the massive bound state of quantum gauge bosons is constructed by massive photons. So, the bound states of quantum fields in noncommutative electrodynamics can exist and be called "photoballs".

In Ref.([16]) and for the temporal noncommutativity $[x^0, x^1] = i\theta^{01}$, we have shown that for any values of d' , $\hat{F}_{0i} = 0$ that is the absence of electric fields is veri-

fied. But, magnetic fields are free. The aim of this paper is to research the absence of the fields in 1+1 dimensional space time. It can be seen that the glueballs and photoballs are massive bound states, so we always find a Lorentz boost to reach to the rest frame. Therefore, there is an one to one correspondence between the dynamic and static solutions.

II. PRESENTATION OF THE THEORY

This work is based on the Derrick theorem using the Coleman and Deser methods. We consider space time to have one time dimension and one space dimension. Due to Ref.[6], the vanishing of the energy - momentum tensor for the static systems excludes finite energy time - independent solutions of the source - free theories. Because the scalar current is trace - less ($\int d^{d-1}x T^\mu_\mu = 0$) and this can be extracted directly from the equation of the motion. This implies that the static solutions are forbidden. For reach to the results of Ref.[6], authors have assumed that at a large distance from the center of the energy of the Lump, the strength of the field must vanish due to the localized fields. Therefore, for existence of Lumps, the gauge field goes to zero in space boundaries because $\hat{F}^{\mu\nu}$ falls faster than $|\vec{r}|^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)}$. This condition is necessary for the definition of localized fields. But, we show here that it can be questioned by lower dimensional space time. In contrast to Ref.[6], we can say:

Lemma: *In 1+1 dimensional space time, the solution to Yang - Mills theory is possible.*

proof: If "g" stands for the coupling constant, then by introducing the localized and nonabelian strength tensor $F_a^{\mu\nu} = f_a^{\mu\nu} + g[A^\mu, A^\nu]_a$ with abelian form of the strength tensor of fields $f_a^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$, we have the beginning of the proof. If the metric of 2-dimensional space time is similar to that of Minkowski $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1)$, so the Lagrangian which describes the theory of classical fields (gluons) becomes:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Nonabelian}} = -\frac{1}{4g^2}(f_a^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu}^a + 2gc_{bc}^a f_a^{\mu\nu} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c + g^2[A^\mu, A^\nu]_a [A_\mu, A_\nu]^a). \quad (1)$$

Here, all Greek indices run from 0 to 3, Latin indices indicate generators of the Lie algebra and c_{bc}^a stands for the constant of the structure of the Lie algebra. In which, $[A_\mu, A_\nu]^a = c_{bc}^a A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$. By variation of the action with respect to the tensor of metric [17, 18] the relevant tensor of the energy - momentum becomes:

$$T_{\text{Gluons}}^{\mu\nu} = F_a^{\mu\alpha} F_\alpha^{a\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu} F_a^{\alpha\beta} F_{\beta\alpha}^a. \quad (2)$$

Definition $(\mathfrak{D}_\mu)_a^b = \partial_\mu \delta_a^b + g c_a^{bc} A_{\mu c}$, and by applying the least principle the equations of the motion of the fields are given by,

$$\partial_\mu F_a^{\mu\nu} + g c_{ab}^c A_\mu^b F_c^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3)$$

The tensor of the energy - momentum obeys the following:

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (4)$$

The case of two-dimensional space time:

We must, first of all, make it clear that hereinafter, Greek indices takes the values: 0,1. Two dimensional Lie algebra consists of two cases: $[t^0, t^1] = 0$ and $[t^0, t^1] = d_a^{01} t^a$. In the first case, the aim of this paper reduces to research of solution to the abelian gauge field theory. But we know the electromagnetic fields are forbidden in two dimensional space time. We now consider studying the existence of the gauge fields in case two. If $d_1^{01} \neq d_0^{01}$, so by scaling the generators, we can reach $[t^0, t^1] = d_0^{01} t^0 + d_1^{01} t^1 |_{d_0^{01}=d_1^{01}}$. For instance, if $d_0^{01} = 2d_1^{01}$, and we set: $t^0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\tau^0$, $t^1 \rightarrow \tau^1$, then we have: $[\tau^0, \tau^1] = d_0^{01}\tau^0 + 2d_1^{01}\tau^1 = d_0^{01}(\tau^0 + \tau^1)$. Also, by introducing: $w^0 = \frac{\tau^0 + \tau^1}{2}$, $w^1 = \frac{\tau^0 - \tau^1}{2}$ we get to $[w^0, w^1] = -d^{01}w^0 = c_0^{01}w^0 |_{c_0^{01}=-d^{01}}$. It can be seen that $c_1^{ab} = 0$, for $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$. Now, we can define: $w^\pm = w^1 \pm w^0$, in which $[w^+, w^-] = 2ic_0^{01}w^0 = c_0^{01}(w^+ - w^-) = c_{+-}^+ w^+ + c_{+-}^- w^-$, where $c_{+-}^+ = -c_{+-}^-$. The last definition can be simplified to give: $w^+ w^- + w^- w^+ = w_0^2 + w_1^2$. The last commutation relation for $w^\pm$, will be our reference Lie algebra. We must distinguish between the Lorentz indices which denoted by Greek symbols $\mu, \nu = 0, 1$, and indices of generators of Lie algebra such as $a, b = 0, 1$. We can freely change the relevant indices of Lie algebra in the canonical transformation: (A^0, A^1) by (A^+, A^-) . Indices of a Lie algebra will be different from the Lorentz indices. Also, there are four components of vector potential: $A_0^\pm$ and $A_1^\pm$, where down indices stand for Lorentz indices. Now, we want to show that the equations of motion of the fields and the energy - momentum tensors for Yang - Mills theory and $U_\star(1)$ always are two linearly independent except for 1+1 space time dimensions. When metric tensor is diagonal, the matrix elements of the energy - momentum tensor are:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{00} & 0 \\ 0 & T^{11} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Due to Eq.(2), $T^{00} = -T^{11} = \frac{1}{2}F_{10}^a F_{10}^b$, where generators of a Lie algebra are denoted by index "a" and "b" which take specific symbols: +, -, which equivalent to $T^{00} = -T^{11} = \frac{1}{2}F_{10}^a F_{10}^a$, where $a \in \{0, 1\}$. It is obvious that: $T^{01} = 0$. Thus, $\partial_0 T^{01}$ is trivially vanish.

Therefore, $\forall a \in \{+, -\}$; the equation of motion becomes:

$$\partial_\mu (F_a^{\mu\nu}) + g[A_\mu, F^{\mu\nu}]_a = 0. \quad (6)$$

But, we show that the motion equation of energy-momentum tensor is not independent from the motion equation of fields. Because of this, by multiplying the motion equation of fields Eq.(6) from the right and left with F_{01}^b and F_b^{10} in which "b" will be different from "a",

and summing two obtained motion equations, it is possible to write:

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_0 F_a^{01}) F_{10}^b + g[A_0, F^{01}]_a F_{10}^b &= 0. \\ F_{01}^a (\partial_0 F_b^{10}) + gF_{01}^a [A_0, F^{10}]_b &= 0. \\ \partial_0 (F_a^{01} F_{10}^b) + g[A_0, F^{01}]_a F_{10}^b + gF_a^{01} [A_0, F_{10}]^b &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Clearly, the motion equation of the energy - momentum tensor ($\partial_0 T^{00} = 0$), is exactly same as the motion equation of field, because some property of Lie algebra,

$$[A_0, F^{01}]_a F_{10}^b + F_a^{01} [A_0, F_{10}]^b = 0. \quad (8)$$

The vanishing Eq.(8) can be shown directly: by introducing: $I = [A_0, F_{01}]^a F_b^{10} + F_a^{01} [A_0, F_{10}]^b$, and for diagonal metric, we have,

$$I = c_{ij}^a A_0^i F_{01}^j F_b^{10} + c_{ij}^b F_a^{01} A_0^i F_{10}^j, \quad (9)$$

where, "a", "b", "i" and "j" get the "+" and "-" symbols and "b" is opposite value with "a". We can turn attention to $w^\pm$ -laws: $w^+ w_- + w^- w_+ = 0$, $w^+ w_+ = w_+ w^+$ and c_a^{ij} properties, so we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} I = c_{+-}^+ (A_0^+ F_{01}^- F_{10}^{10} - A_0^- F_{01}^+ F_{10}^{10} - A_0^+ F_{01}^- F_{10}^{10} \\ + A_0^- F_{01}^+ F_{10}^{10} \\ + F_{10}^{01} A_0^+ F_{10}^- - F_{10}^{01} A_0^- F_{10}^+ - F_{10}^{01} A_0^+ F_{10}^- \\ + F_{10}^{01} A_0^- F_{10}^+), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with no summation over the indices $+, -$. The vanishing of I occurs for some properties of the constant of Lie algebra. For example "I" includes: $II = -c_{+-}^+ A_0^+ F_{01}^- F_{10}^{10} - c_{+-}^+ F_{01}^+ A_0^+ F_{10}^{10}$ but, $II = -c_{+-}^+ A_0^+ F_{01}^- F_{10}^{10} - c_{+-}^+ A_0^+ F_{01}^+ F_{10}^{10} = -c_{+-}^+ A_0^+ F_{01}^- F_{10}^{10} + c_{+-}^+ A_0^+ F_{10}^- F_{01}^{10} = 0$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} I = -A_{0+} c_{+-}^+ \{F_{01}^- F_{10}^{10+} + F_{01}^{10+} F_{10}^-\} \\ - A_{0-} c_{+-}^+ \{F_{01}^+ F_{10}^{10-} + F_{01}^{10-} F_{10}^+\} \\ + c_{+-}^+ \{A_0^+ (F_{01} F_{10}^{10})^- + A_0^- (F_{01} F_{10}^{10})^+\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It is only consequence of motion equation of $\mathfrak{D}_0 F_a^{01} = 0$, and $\mathfrak{D}_0 T^{00} = 0$. Similarly, from $\partial_1 T^{11} = 0$, one finds: $\partial_1 (F_a^{10} F_{01}^b) = 0$. Therefore, $\forall a \in \{+, -\}$; only consequence of the motion equation of fields and motion equation of energy - momentum tensor will be: $\mathfrak{D}_1 F_a^{01} = 0$. Namely, our calculations show that vector potentials $A_\mu^\pm$, should be satisfied the following motion equations:

$$\mathfrak{D}^\mu F_{\mu\nu}^\pm = 0. \quad (12)$$

But, the gauge theory has only one degree of freedom (appears on space and time components) in two dimensional space time, thus we can take $A_0^a = 0$, in the argument for the source free to Yang - Mills theory. Let us denote the solution of these equations by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^+ &= u(t, x), \\ A_1^- &= t f(x), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\ddot{u}(t, x) = 0$. One can simply show that $\partial_0 \partial^0 A_1^a|_{a=+,-} = 0$. If we set: $c_+ = -c_- = c$, then Eq.(13) obeys

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t, x) + gcu(t, x)f(x) - gctf(x)\dot{u}(t, x) &= 0, \\ \dot{f}(x) - gcu(t, x)f(x) + gctf(x)\dot{u}(t, x) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

These can also be combined as: $\dot{u}(t, x) + \dot{f}(x) = 0$. That is $f(x) = -\dot{u}(t, x)$. Since, $\ddot{u}(t, x) = 0$, there is a trivial solution: $u(t, x) = tv(x) + \beta(x)$. We will have no difficulty evaluating $\beta(x)$ from Eq.(14); so that,

$$\beta(x) = \frac{1}{gc} \frac{d}{dx} \ln v(x). \quad (15)$$

In argument for the gauge freedom with a choice of $\partial_0 \partial^0 A_1^a = 0$, the motion equation of fields Eq.(6) have an infinite answer. Thus, we can find the following:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^+(t, x) &= tv(x) + \frac{1}{gc} \frac{d}{dx} \ln v(x), \\ A_1^-(t, x) &= -tv(x), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $v(x)$ is an arbitrary function. Therefore, the relevant electric fields to Eq.(16) will be: $\vec{E}^+(x) = -v(x)\hat{e}_x$ and $\vec{E}^- = v(x)\hat{e}_x$. However, some of these solutions include the singularity as $x \rightarrow x_0$, which appears when the denominator of the vector potential vanishes. But, the some of these solutions (given by Eq.(16)) has the ability to product the Lump, without singularity.

Clearly, obtained Lump will be static. For reach to dynamical Lump, we can use from gauge freedom to drop $A_1^\pm$. In this case, one of the motion equations will be: $\partial_1 \partial^1 A_0^a|_{a=+,-} = 0$, and the next becomes:

$$\partial_0 (\partial^1 A_a^0) + gc_{ij}^a A_i^0 \partial^1 A_j^0 = 0. \quad (17)$$

We can just make the simple replacement in our previous solution, Eq.(16). Setting: $x \leftrightarrow t$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^+(t, x) &= xw(t) + \frac{1}{gc} \frac{d}{dt} \ln w(t), \\ A_0^-(t, x) &= -xw(t). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

However, tensors of the energy - momentum built from Eqs.(16) and (18) become: $T^{00} = -T^{11} = 0$. In all cases, all of the energy - momentum tensors that we will derive from the set of solutions given by Eqs.(16) and (18) are also null tensors! It can be seen that the nonvanishing Yang - Mills field occurs in 2-dimensional space time together with null energy - momentum tensor. The null energy - momentum tensor agrees quite well with the motion equation of energy - momentum tensor. In the physical interpretation of nonabelian gauge theory, $E_+(x)$ and $E_-(x)$ exhibit themselves as mirror and image fields. Therefor, we can consider that the electrically energy in the covariant derivatives interpolate between mirror and image. In this formalism energy and momentum exchanges between mirror and image.

So far, the equation of the motion of the energy - momentum tensor did not provide us anything more than to the equation of the motion of the field, because they are not all independent. The above statements confirm that there is no solution to QED in two dimensional space time but, the classical glueballs as a Lump of gluons can be occurred in two dimensional space time. In fact, instead of the Ref.[6], the solution to Yang - Mills theory are forbidden except in two and five dimensional space time. So, for 1 + 1 space time dimensions, we learn nothing further from the energy - momentum tensor viewpoint.

Now, we must attention to $U_*(1)$ on 2-dimensional noncommutative space time. Noncommutativity on coordinates with the condition $[\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^1]_* = i\theta^{01}$ is considered. Due to previous section, make substituting $g \rightarrow -ie$, the $U_*(1)$ action is

$$S_* = -\frac{1}{4e^2} \int d^d x (\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} \star \star \hat{F}_{\alpha\beta} \star) \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta}, \quad (19)$$

where $\hat{F}_*^{\alpha\beta} = \partial^\alpha A_*^\beta - \partial^\beta A_*^\alpha - ie[A^\alpha, A^\beta]_*$ will be a noncommutative coordinates function, in which with $[A, B]_* = A \star B - B \star A$, denotes the strength of the noncommutative $U_*(1)$ gauge fields in d-dimensional space time. Canonical energy momentum tensor is given by

$$4T_*^{\mu\nu} = 2\{\hat{F}_*^{\mu\alpha}, \hat{F}_*^{\nu\beta}\}_* - \eta^{\mu\nu}(\hat{F}_*^{\alpha\beta} \star \hat{F}_{\beta\alpha} \star), \quad (20)$$

here, $\{A, B\}_* = A \star B + B \star A$. In the common form, the equation of motion of the energy momentum tensor is

$$D_\mu \star T_*^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu T_*^{\mu\nu} - ie[A_\mu \star, T_*^{\mu\nu}]_* = 0. \quad (21)$$

Two dimensional noncommutative space time
Now, if the metric tensor is diagonal, then matrix elements of the energy - momentum tensor are

$$T_*^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} T_*^{00} & 0 \\ 0 & T_*^{11} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Due to Eq.(20) $T_*^{00} = -T_*^{11} = \frac{1}{2}F_*^{10} \star F_{01} \star$. Also again it is obviously $T_*^{01} = 0$. Thus, $\partial_0 T_*^{01}$ is trivially vanished. $U_*(1)$ gauge theory has only one degree of freedom in two dimensional space time, thus we can take $A_*^0 = 0$. This means that source free case of $U_*(1)$ is considered. In arguments to the above, we can set: $F_*^{01} = \partial^0 A_*^1$. It can be seen that

$$\partial_0 \partial^0 A_*^1 = 0, \quad (23)$$

Eq.(23) is only consequence of $\mathfrak{D}_0 \star F_*^{01} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{D}_0 \star T_*^{00} = 0$. Similarly, from $\mathfrak{D}_1 \star T_*^{11} = 0$ can be obtain: $\partial_1(-\frac{1}{2}\partial^0 A_*^1 \star \partial_0 A_{1*}) - ie[A_1 \star, -\frac{1}{2}\partial^0 A_*^1 \star \partial_0 A_{1*}] = 0$, where is similar to $(\mathfrak{D}_1 \star F_*^{10}) \star F_{01} \star + F_*^{10} \star \mathfrak{D}_1 \star F_{01} \star = 0$, but, this is the motion equation of $D_1 \star F_*^{10} = \partial_1 F_*^{10} - ie[A_1 \star, F_*^{10}]_* = 0$, which is repeated twice. Since $\partial^0 \partial_0 A_*^1 = 0$, thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & [A_1 \star, \partial^0 A_{1*}^1]_* = \\ & \frac{i}{2}\theta^{01}\partial_0 A_{1*} \star \partial_1 \partial^0 A_*^1 + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{10}\partial_1 A_{1*} \star \partial_0 \partial^0 A_*^1 \\ & + 0(\theta\theta)\partial^0 \partial_0 \dots \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In argument to the above, we have

$$\partial_1 \partial^0 A_*^1 - ie\frac{i}{2}\theta^{01}\partial_0 A_{1*} \star \partial_1 \partial^0 A_*^1 = 0, \quad (25)$$

or

$$\partial_1 \partial^0 A_*^1 (1 + \frac{e}{2}\theta^{01}\partial_0 A_{1*}) = 0. \quad (26)$$

There is a compatible source free solution: $A_*^0 = 0$, and $A_*^1 = -\frac{2}{e\theta^{01}}t + \beta(x)$, which it can product the uniform electric field $\vec{E} = \frac{2}{e\theta^{01}}\hat{x}$, although, the uniform fields violet the Helmholtz condition of fields. Due to gauge freedom, if we take $A_*^1 = 0$, then the motion equation becomes:

$$\partial_0 \partial^1 A_*^0 - ie[A_0 \star, \partial^1 A_{0*}^0]_* = 0. \quad (27)$$

So, we reach the solution: $A_*^0 = -\frac{2}{e\theta^{10}}x + \beta(t)$, which it can product the uniform electric field $\vec{E} = \frac{2}{e\theta^{10}}\hat{x}$. We mention that the uniform field can not describe a Lump, so Lumps are absence in the theory of $U_*(1)$ in 2-dimensional noncommutative space time.

Although, Lump can not occurred in the theory of $U_*(1)$, but all of the above expressions vanish for the equation of the motion of the classical field and the equation of the motion of the energy - momentum tensor did not provide us anything more than to the equation of the motion of the field. Because they are not all independent and we learn nothing further from the energy - momentum tensor viewpoint. The above statements confirm that the any solution to $U_*(1)$ is vanish except uniform electric field. That is, we can say that:

Lemma: *In 2-dimensional temporal noncommutative quantum electrodynamics, existence of Lumps are forbidden*

III. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we study the existence of Lumps to Yang - Mills and $U_*(1)$ (noncommutative quantum electrodynamics in the temporal mode) in 1+1 dimensional space time. We consider the gluon and photon self interactions term which appear in Lagrangian density. Then, we derive the energy - momentum tensors and we also show that we learn nothing further from the motion equation of the energy - momentum tensor. Moreover, we show that if we use from the gauge freedom, then solution to Yang - Mills and noncommutative quantum electrodynamics theories are possible. All our obtained results are instead to Deser and Coleman reports. Therefore, in 1+1 dimensional space time, our calculations lead us to accept the following results:

- 1-"Existence of the photoballs are forbidden in temporal noncommutative quantum electrodynamics".
- 2-"Glueballs can be occurred as a Lump of the Yang - Mills theory".

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks the Shahrekord university for support of this research grant fund.

-
- [1] G.H. Derrick, J. Math. Phys. **5** (1964) 1252.
 - [2] N.G. Vakhitov and A.A. Kolokolov, Radiophys. Quantum Electron. **16** (1973) 783.
 - [3] R. Rajaraman, "Solitons and Instantons: An Introduction to Solitons and Instantons in Quantum Field Theory", (North-Holland Personal Library) (1989).
 - [4] K. R. Dodd, J. C. Eilbeck, J. D. Gibbon, H. C. Morris, x"Solitons and Nonlinear Wave Equations", (Academic Press. London) 1989.
 - [5] Commun. math. Phys. **55** (1977) 113.
 - [6] S. Deser, "Absence of static solutions in source-free Yang - Mills theory", Phys. Lett. **B64** (1976) 463.
 - [7] W.-S. Hou and G.-G. Wong, "Glueball Spectrum From A Potential model," Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 034003, hep-ph/0207292.
 - [8] S. Coleman, "There are no Goldstone Bosons in Two Dimensions", Commun. math. Phys. **31** (1973) 259-264.
 - [9] R. Amorim, J. Barcelos-Neto, "Remarks on the canonical quantization of noncommutative theories", J. Phys. **A 34** (2001) 8851-8858.
 - [10] M. Chaichian, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, and A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 2716, hep-th/0010175; Eur. Phys. J. **C 36** (2004) 251, hep-th/0212259.
 - [11] N. Chair and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Phys. Lett. **B 504** (2001) 141, hep-th/0009037; M. Haghighat, S. M. Zebarjad, and F. Loran, Phys. Rev. **D 66** (2002) 016005, hep-ph/0109105; M. Haghighat and F. Loran, Phys. Rev. **D 67** (2003) 096003, hep-th/0206019; M. Caravati, A. Devoto, and W. W. Repko, Phys. Lett. **B 556** (2003) 123, hep-ph/0211463.
 - [12] G. Berrino, S. L. Cacciatori, A. Celi, L. Martucci, and A. Vicini, Phys. Rev. **D 67** (2003) 065021, hep-th/0210171; C. A. de S. Pires, J. Phys. **G 30** (2004) B41, hep-ph/0410120; S. M. Lietti and C. A. de S. Pires, Eur. Phys. J. **C 35** (2004) 137, hep-ph/0402034; T. Mariz, C. A. de S. Pires, and R. F. Ribeiro, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 18** (2003) 5433, hep-ph/0211416; S. I. Kruglov, Annales Fond. Broglie **27** (2002) 343, hep-th/0110059; Electromagn. Phenom. **3** (2003) 18, quant-ph/0204137; Annales Fond. Broglie **26** (2001) 125, math-ph/0110008.
 - [13] M. Chaichian, P. Presnajder, M.M. Sheikh- Jabbari and A. Tureanu, Eur.Phys.J. **C 29** (2003) 413-432, hep-th/0107055, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, J. High Energy Phys. **9906** (1999) 015, hep-th/9903107, and I. F. Riad and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, J. High Energy Phys. **0008** (2000) 045, hep-th/0008132, and H. Arfaei and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Nucl. Phys. **B 526** (1998) 278, hep-th/9709054.
 - [14] A. Jafari, "Recursive Relations For Processes With n Photons Of Noncommutative QED," Nucl.Phys.B783:57-75,2007, hep-th/0607141.
 - [15] Amir H. Fatollahi, Abolfazl Jafari, "On The Bound States Of Photons In Noncommutative Quantum Electrodynamics" Eur. Phys. J. **C 46** (2006) 235-245.
 - [16] A. Jafari, "Photon Dynamic In Temporal Noncommutative Electrodynamics", Eur. Phys. J. **C** (2013) 73.
 - [17] H. Kleinert, "Particles and Quantum Fields", World Scientific, Singapore, 2015, and H. Kleinert, "Gauge Fields in Condensed Matter", (World Scientific Publisher Company, Inc) 1987.
 - [18] L. Parker, D. J. Tomas, "Quantum Field Theory In Curved Space Time", Cambridge University Press, 2009.